

RESOURCE ALLOCATION MODEL TASKFORCE AGENDA

January 26, 2017 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. SJCC – T-112

1) Call to Order

2) Approval of Agenda ACTION

3) Approval of December 2, 2016 Meeting Minutes ACTION

4) Review Models: Peralta CCD, San Mateo CCD

5) Conceptual Models – What do we like? DISCUSSION

a) Simulation Drafts REVIEW

6) Build Next Agenda DISCUSSION

7) Check out DISCUSSION

8) Adjournment

Parking Lot:

a) 2016 Principles for Budget Development

	RAM TASKFORCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS	
ADMINISTRATION	ACADEMIC SENATE	CSEA
Doug Smith, Vice Chancellor, Administrative Services - DS	Fabio Gonzalez, Academic Senate - DS	Dan Hawkins - DS
Andrea Alexander, Vice President, Admin. Services - EVC	Eric Narveson, Academic Senate - EVC	Yesenia Ramirez - EVC
Jorge Escobar, Vice President, Admin Services - SJCC	Steven Graham, Academic Senate - EVC	
	Jesus Covarrubias, Academic Senate - SJCC	AFT 6157
MSC	Guillermo Castilla, Academic Senate - SJCC	Barbara Hanfling, Faculty Association - SJCC
Lauren McKee, Administrative Services Supervisor - EVC	Chris Frazier, Academic Senate - SJCC (Alt.)	Mark Newton, Faculty Association - SJCC (Alt.)
Keiko Kimura, Budget Committee Chair - SJCC	Phillip Crawford, Academic Senate - SJCC (Alt.)	Paul Fong, Faculty Association - EVC (Alt.)
Peter Fitzsimmons, Executive Director Fiscal Services, DS		
Estamo Carox Basses Comentes Com 4 EVC (201)		



Resource Allocation Model Taskforce

Meeting Minutes

December 2, 2016 – Evergreen Valley College – RM. VPA 115

Agreed to move all future meeting at EVC to VPA 115.

Present: Yesenia Ramirez, Andrea Alexander, Peter Fitzsimmons, Eugenio Canoy, Keiko Kimura,

Guillermo Castilla, Steven Graham, Lauren McKee, Eric Narveson, Dan Hawkins, Barbara

Hanfling, Mark Newton, Jorge Escobar, Fabio Gonzales, Chris Frazier

Absent: Jesus Covarrubias, Phillip Crawford, Paul Fong

Also Present: Michael Burke, Roy Stutzman, Carol Anderson, Kathy Tran, Jonathan Camacho

- 1) <u>Call to Order</u> the meeting was called to order at 1:18 p.m.
- Approval of Agenda M/S/P; Ayes-all, Opposed-0, Abstentions-0, Absent-0, a Motion to approve was made by Barbara Hanfling; Seconded by Steven Graham. The agenda was approved as submitted.
- 3) Approval of 10/28/16 Minutes- M/S/P; Ayes-all, Opposed-0, Abstentions-0, absent-0, A motion to approve the 5a was made by Eric Narveson; seconded by Yesenia Ramirez. The minutes were approved with the corrections noted by Barbara Hanfling below:
 - Barbara noted that in paragraph 5a (Membership Update) AFT 6125 needs to be corrected to AFT 6157
- 4) Approval of 11/18/16 Minutes- M/S/P; Ayes-15, Opposed-0, Abstentions-1, absent-0, a motion was made by Dan Hawkins; seconded by Eric Narveson. The motion was approved with 15 ayes with the correction noted below. Guillermo Castilla abstained:
 - a. Add the 12/9/16 meeting under #6-Upcoming Schedule of Meeting.
- 5) Review of Revenue Report: Peter reviewed Fund 10 of the Revenue Report. It is important to look at our revenue sources so we know what is actually distributed through the model. There are three funding categories for Fund 10: State, Local and Other Financing Sources.
 - a. State Revenue (486) included in the FY16-17 Adopted Budget was \$8,476,436 and includes revenue sources such as the Education Protection Account (EPA-Prop 30) which is \$100 per FTES, Mandated Cost Reimbursement and Unrestricted Lottery.
 - b. Local Revenue (488) is the bulk of revenue. The local revenue included in the FY16-17 Adopted Budget was \$98,138,755 and includes revenue sources such as most Property Tax Revenues, Enrollment Fees, , and other locally generated revenues.
 - c. Other Financing Sources (489) included in the FY16-17 Adopted Budget was \$675,876 and includes revenue sources such as the sale of equipment and waste

materials as well transfers in from the Facility Rental Fund 15 to support operating budgets.

6) Review of Models

- a. West Valley/Mission Community College District does not use a totally revenue based model. They provide for faculty costs (full and pt. time) "Off the top" and also provide baseline staffing allotments. District Services and district-wide fixed costs are also provided for using the "off the top" methodology. With this model, each college is assessed equally for District Services and District-wide costs. After the "off the top" allocations are made, the balance of funding is allocated on a per FTES basis. This type of model makes sense with districts that have colleges with very different enrollments or if there are significant differences in average cost of full time faculty college to college. This is an Expenditure Allocation model with some elements of SB 361 and is primarily history based. Much of the allocation is based on cost rather than revenue. West Valley/Mission adopted their current model prior to becoming a Basic Aid District but have made some modifications since.
- b. Roy pointed out page 15 of the Ventura County Community College District where it states that "Overall, the model addresses the Basic Principles for a budget allocation model previously adopted by the board. It utilizes formulas and variables that have been meaningfully studied, readily defined, easily measured and consistently reported. As with this budget, no model will ever be perfect and it is doubtful that the district will ever achieve complete consensus as to how its resources should be distributed, however the model as proposed, adopted and modified comes as close to that consensus as we can reasonably expect."

7) Build Next Agenda

- a. Review Peralta Model
- b. Review San Mateo Model
- c. Conceptual Models-What do we like?
- d. Simulation of concepts

8) <u>Upcoming Meetings</u>

- a. 12/9/16 SJCC (GE207)
- b. 01/26/17 SJCC (T-112)
- c. 02/10/17 EVC (VPA-115)
- d. 02/24/17 (TBD)
- e. 03/03/17 EVC (VPA-115)
- f. 03/10/17 (TBD)

All meetings are scheduled from 1:00 PM - 4:00 PM

The meeting was adjourned at 4:33 PM

			San Jose-Ever				_	DISTRICT						
					Allocation) _					_		
			20	16/1	17 Adopte	d Budget								
				SI	MULATIO	N #1			_					
Revenue to be distributed	1		SJECCD FTES	9	SJCC FTES	EVC FTES	S	JCC Allocation	Ti	VC Allocation	T	Milpitas	T	WFI
thru the model			TARGET- TOTAL/CREDIT, NON-CREDIT & NE	1	TARGET	TARGET						Extension		
CR & NC (RFTES)	+		11,84	4	5,634	6,210			+		+-		+-	
Non-Resident	_		650	-	366	290			+		-		+-	
Total FTES Targets	+		12,500	-	6,000	6,500	-		+-		+-		+	
Property taxes	 			-		0,500	-		+-		+		+	
Secured	\$	75,914,000		1					+-		+-		-	
Supplemental Secured	\$	3,037,000							1.					
Unsecured Roll	\$	6,247,000							1				I	
RDA Passthru	\$	1,242,600					_		1		╄-		- -	
RDA Residual Payments Secured Homeowners Exempt	\$	2,282,000		-			-		-		-		\perp	
Total Property Tax	\$	436,000 89,158,600		-					┢		-		+-	
BASIC ALLOCATION	,	30,000,000	† 	1			\$	15,000,000	Ś	15,000,000	_		+	
Milpitas Extension		750,000		L				NAME OF THE OWNER, WHEN THE OW	1	-,,	\$	750,000		
Workforce Institute		125,866											\$	125,86
ERTY TAX DISTRIBUTED PER RFTES	\$	58,282,734	PER RFTES =	\$	4,920.87		\$	27,724,158	\$	30,558,576	\$	750,000	\$	125,86
Out on Power				<u> </u>					-		-		-	
Other Revenues Mandated Cost	\$	1,440,733	+	+-					-		+		+	
EPA EPA	\$	1,250,000											+	
Lottery	\$	1,800,000		+-					1				+-	
Interest	\$	157,530							-		1		+-	
Other State Income	\$	3,118,553												
Other local income	\$	910,811												
Property Rental	\$	150,840		ļ					L.		<u> </u>		L	
State Reimbursed Cost Use of facilities	\$	214,336 170,672		-					-		-		4	
Other Financing Sources	\$	227,376							-		-		+	
AL OTHER DISTRIBUTED PER FTES		9,440,851	PER RFTES =	\$	797.10		\$	4,490,861	\$	4,949,990	_		+	
ENERATED REVENUES ALLOCATED	\$	98,599,451					\$	47,215,018		50,508,567				
ess DW EXPENSE	\$	12,548,875	11 449/				\$	6,023,460		6,525,415			-	
Assessment per FTES	<u> </u>	12,5-0,015	PER FTES =	Ś	1,003.91		-	0,023,400	2	0,323,413			+-	
				Ť									1	
DISTRICT SERVICE EXPENSE	\$	15,982,935	14.57%				\$	7,671,809	\$	8,311,126				
Assessment per FTES			PER FTES =	\$	1,278.63									
IET ALLOCATION					-		\$	33,519,750	é	25 672 025	_		-	
ALLOCATION							>	33,319,730	>	35,672,025	-		-	
PLUS COLLEGE GENERATED		•							-		-	-	-	
REVENUE					ļ									
nstructional materials fees							\$	31,623	\$	4,565				
nrollment fees intl students							\$	659,309		636,420				
nrollment fees residents nrollment fees non residents		-					\$	2,748,236		2,749,373			ļ	
arking Fees				_			\$	366,801		298,852				
ther local income							\$ \$	133,227 191,201		125,271 81,424			_	
.O.G. (2% Admin Fee)				_			}	118,715		98,099			-	
ederal MAA Program							\$			- 30,035				
ther financing sources							\$	350,000		98,500				
TOTAL		8,691,616					\$	4,599,112	\$	4,092,504				
OTAL REVENUE ET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE	\$	107,291,067												
EL MILLIO ALICINI + COLLEGE						1	\$	38 118 862	¢	39,764,529	c	750,000	\$	175 055
					-		\$	6,353	-	6,118	4	730,000	*	125,866
EVENUE							2	0.333	3	Ph 1 1 36				
EVENUE EVENUE PER FTES			-								4	750 000	4	405.00-
EVENUE EVENUE PER FTES ESS EXPENDITURE BUDGET							\$	39,855,546	\$	40,417,692		750,000		125,866
EVENUE EVENUE PER FTES									\$			75 0,000 -	\$	125,866 -
EVENUE EVENUE PER FTES ESS EXPENDITURE BUDGET							\$	39,855,546	\$	40,417,692		750,000		125,866
EVENUE EVENUE PER FTES ESS EXPENDITURE BUDGET ALANCE/DEFICIT	Docum	nent)					\$	39,855,546	\$	40,417,692		750,000		125,866
EVENUE EVENUE PER FTES ESS EXPENDITURE BUDGET ALANCE/DEFICIT ontrol Numbers (from Adopted Budget	Docum \$	nent) 107,291,067					\$	39,855,546	\$	40,417,692		750,000		125,866

			ose-Evergreen			_									
		F/	ACULTY COST "C			D:	10)								
			2016/17	Adopted B	udget										
			SIMU	JLATION #	3										
Revenue to be distributed thru the model			SJECCD FTES TARGET- TOTAL/CREDIT, NON-CREDIT AND NR	SJCC FTES TARGET		s	JCC Allocation	E	EVC Allocation		LPITAS ENSION		WFI		
CR & NC (RFTES)			11,844	5,634	6,210			T				1			
Non-Resident			656	366	290			T				T			
Total FTES Targets			12,500	6,000	6,500	1 -				1		1			
Property taxes	1					1-		1-		+-					
Secured	\$ 75,91	4,000		1		1		1						 	
Supplemental Secured		7,000						1							
Unsecured Roll	\$ 6,24	7,000						1							
RDA Passthru	\$ 1,24	2,600		Ī				1							
RDA Residual Payments		2,000										1			
Secured Homeowners Exempt	_	6,000						L							
Total Property Tax	\$ 89,15														
FULL TIME FACULTY SALARIES AND BENEFITS	\$ 31,87					\$	16,189,250								
ADJUNCT ALLOCATION MILPITAS EXTENSION	\$ 14,55			ļ		\$	6,805,081	\$	7,746,766	-		_			
WFI		0,000				! -		-		\$	750,000			-	
BASIC ALLOCATION		5,866		 		-	2 701 001					\$	125,860	5	
PROPERTY TAX DISTRIBUTED PER RFTES (BASE	\$ 7,20	2,588				\$	3,601,294	5	3,601,294			-		-	
REVENUE)	\$ 34,65	1 406	PER RETES =	\$ 2,925.65		\$	16,483,116	ne:	18,168,290						
TOTAL PROPERTY TAX ALLOCATION	¥ 51,00	-,	TENTO TES	7 2,525.05		\$	43,078,741	1.00		-	750.000	4	425.000	.+	
TOTAL THOU ENT THAN ALEGORITOR	1					13	43,076,741	1 3	45,203,992	\$	750,000	\$	125,866		
Other Revenues						-				1				+	
Mandated Cost	\$ 1,44	0,733				-		-		-				-	
EPA .		0,000				-		-		-		_			
Lottery		0,000		-		-		1-		+				+	
Interest		7,530						-		-		-			
Other State Income	\$ 3,11					_		-		1-		_		+	
Other local income		,811						1 -						-	
Property Rental	\$ 150	,840						_						1	
State Reimbursed Cost	\$ 214	,336												_	
Use of facilities		,672													
Other Financing Sources		,376	30												
TOTAL OTHER DISTRIBUTED PER FTES	\$ 9,440	,851	PER FTES =	\$ 797.10		\$	4,490,861	\$	4,949,990						
						\$	47,569,601	\$	50,153,983				-		
ESS: DW EXPENSE	\$ 12,548	,875	11.44%			\$	6,023,460	\$	6,525,415						
Assessment per FTES			PER FTES =	\$ 1,003.91				-							
										_				+	
ESS: DISTRICT SERVICE EXPENSE	\$ 15,982	,935	14.57%			\$	7,671,809	\$	8,311,126					1	
Assessment per FTES			PER FTES =	\$ 1,278.63										1	
		=I				\$	33,874,333	\$	35,317,441					1.	
PLUS COLLEGE GENERATED REVENUE														1	
structional materials fees						\$	31,623	\$	4,565						
nrollment fees intl students						\$	659,309		636,420					1	
	1					\$	2,748,236	\$	2,749,373						
nrollment fees residents							366,801	ė	298,852						
nrollment fees non residents						\$									
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees						\$	133,227	\$	125,271						
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income						\$	133,227 191,201	\$	125,271 81,424			_			
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee)						\$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715	\$	125,271						
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program						\$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715	\$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099						
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources	¢ 9.50°	616				\$ \$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 - 350,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 - 98,500						
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL	\$ 8,691					\$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715	\$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099						
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES	\$ 8,691 \$ 107,291					\$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 - 350,000 4,599,112	\$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 - 98,500 4,092,504	ė 75	0.000	<i>d</i> . •	3E 066		
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE						\$ \$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445	\$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 - 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945	\$ 75	0,000	\$ 1	25,866		
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE EVENUE PER FTES						\$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445 6,412	\$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063						
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE						\$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445	\$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063						
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE EVENUE PER FTES						\$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445 6,412	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063	\$ 73		\$ 1	23,701	\$ (2,37	4,783.00
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE EVENUE PER FTES						\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445 6,412 39,855,546	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063 40,417,692	\$ 73	7,100	\$ 1	23,701	\$ (2,37	4,783.00
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE EVENUE PER FTES		067				\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445 6,412 39,855,546	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063 40,417,692	\$ 73	7,100	\$ 1	23,701	\$ (2,37	4,783.00
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE EVENUE PER FTES (PENDITURES IN ADOPTED BUDGET DTAL RESOURCES (REVENUES IN ADOPTED DTAL RESOURCES (REVENUES IN ADOPTED JDGET)	\$ 107,291	067				\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445 6,412 39,855,546	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063 40,417,692	\$ 73	7,100	\$ 1	23,701	\$ (2,37)	4,783.00
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources TOTAL LOCAL DTAL RESOURCES IET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE EVENUE PER FTES (PENDITURES IN ADOPTED BUDGET DTAL RESOURCES (REVENUES IN ADOPTED DJOGET) IPENDITURES IN ADOPTED BUDGET (DISCOUNT	\$ 107,291 \$ 107,291,	067				\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445 6,412 39,855,546	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063 40,417,692	\$ 73	7,100	\$ 1	23,701	\$ (2,37)	4,783.00
nrollment fees non residents arking Fees ther local income .O.G. (2% Admin Fee) ederal MAA Program ther financing sources	\$ 107,291	067				\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	133,227 191,201 118,715 350,000 4,599,112 38,473,445 6,412 39,855,546	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	125,271 81,424 98,099 98,500 4,092,504 39,409,945 6,063 40,417,692	\$ 73	7,100	\$ 1	23,701	\$ (2,37	4,783.00

		San Jose-Eve							
			361 Allocatio						
		20	016/17 Adop	ted Budge	et				i
		EXPENDI	TURE DISCOU	IT FACTOR	APPLIED				
			SIMULAT	ION 2					
Revenue to be distributed thru		SJECCD FTES	SJCC FTES	EVC FTES	SJCC Allocation	EVC Allocation	Milpitas	WFI	
the model		TARGET-	TARGET	TARGET	İ		Extension		
		TOTAL/CREDIT,							
		NON-CREDIT &							
			1						
CD O NG (DETEN)	 	NR	-				1	-	
CR & NC (RFTES)		11,844	5,634	6,210					1
Non-Resident		656	366	290					
Total FTES Targets		12,500	6,000	6,500					
Property taxes									
Secured	\$ 75,914,000								
Supplemental Secured	\$ 3,037,000								
Unsecured Roll	\$ 6,247,000								
RDA Passthru	\$ 1,242,600								
RDA Residual Payments	\$ 2,282,000		1						
Secured Homeowners Exempt	\$ 436,000		-			ļ			
Total Property Tax BASIC ALLOCATION	\$ 89,158,600		-		£ 15 666 511	The same of the sa		-	+
Milpitas Extension	\$ 750,000				\$ 15,000,000	\$ 15,000,000		+	+
Workforce Institute	\$ 125,866		 				\$ 750,000		
PROPERTY TAX DISTRIBUTED PER RFTES	\$ 58,282,734		\$ 4,920.87		\$ 27,724,158	\$ 30,558,576	\$ 750,000	\$ 125,866	
		, 47771123-	7 7,520.07		v 21,129,130	30,330,376	7 730,000	\$ 125,866	'
Other Revenues				-		+	+	 	+
Mandated Cost	\$ 1,440,733					ļ — — —		 	+
EPA	\$ 1,250,000					1	+	-	
Lottery	\$ 1,800,000					 	+	1	
Interest	\$ 157,530								
Other State Income	\$ 3,118,553								
Other local income	\$ 910,811								
Property Rental	\$ 150,840								
State Reimbursed Cost	\$ 214,336								
Use of facilities	\$ 170,672								
Other Financing Sources	\$ 227,376	25.0				1			_
TOTAL OTHER DISTRIBUTED PER FTES	\$ 9,440,851	PER RFTES =	\$ 797.10		\$ 4,490,861	\$ 4,949,990		ļ	ļ
US GENERATED REVENUES ALLOCATED	\$ 98,599,451				£ 47.315.018	f			+
OF CEREINALES REVEROES ALLOCATES	30,333,431				\$ 47,215,018	\$ 50,508,567			
ess DW EXPENSE	\$ 12,333,034	11.44%			\$ 5,919,856	\$ 6,413,178	+	 	
Assessment per FTES	<u> </u>	PER FTES =	\$ 986.64	-	3,313,630	3 0,413,176	t 		-
			V 355151						-
DISTRICT SERVICE EXPENSE	\$ 15,708,029	14.57%			\$ 7,539,854	\$ 8,168,175			-
Assessment per FTES		PER FTES =	\$ 1,256.64						_
Į.									
NET ALLOCATION					\$ 33,755,308	\$ 35,927,214			
PLUS COLLEGE GENERATED									
REVENUE									ļ
nstructional materials fees					\$ 31,623				
nrollment fees intl students					\$ 659,309	\$ 636,420			
nrollment fees residents nrollment fees non residents					\$ 2,748,236				-
arking Fees					366,801	\$ 298,852			
ther local income					\$ 133,227 \$ 191,201				
O.G. (2% Admin Fee)					191,201 118,715	\$ 81,424 \$ 98,099			
ederal MAA Program		-			110,713	\$ 38,033			
ther financing sources						\$ 98,500			
	\$ 8,691,616				4,599,112	\$ 4,092,504			
	\$ 107,291,067				.,,	,,052,004			
ET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE						and the second			
					38,354,420	\$ 40,019,718	\$ 750,000	\$ 125,866	
EVENUE PER FTES					6,392	\$ 6,157			
SS EXPENDITURE BUDGET (discount				-	-,				
ictor applied)			1		39,170 ,031	\$ 39,722,508	\$ 737,100	\$ 122 701	
ALANCE/DEFICIT		-		3					A (FR
TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE		10			(815,611)	\$ 297,210	\$ 12,900	> 2,165	\$ (503,336.00
ontrol Numbers (from Adopted Budget									
		Discounted Exp.	l l	1					
ocument)						- I			
Revenues in Adopted Budget	\$ 107,291,067			-		2			
Revenues in Adopted Budget	\$ 107,291,067 \$ 109,680,914	\$ 107,291,067.00							

					Communit								
		r.			OFF THE TO		ID 1	.0)					
				2016/17 /	Adopted B	udget							
			EXPEN	DITURE DIS	SCOUNT FA	TOR APPL	JED						
				SIMU	JLATION #	4							
	Τ-		CIE	CCD FTES	1		1		1				+
			1		1								İ
Manager and the state of the st	1		1	ARGET-	SJCC FTES	EVC FTES				MILPITAS			i
Revenue to be distributed thru the model			1	AL/CREDIT,	TARGET	TARGET	SJ	CC Allocation	EVC Allocatio	EXTENSIO		WFI	
	i		NO	N-CREDIT	IAMOLI	IANGEI				EXTENSIO			
	į.		, A	UND NR	1				1				-
CR & NC (RFTES)			1	11,844	5,634	6,210	5			-			
Non-Resident	+-		1	656		290	+		l	+	-		
Total FTES Targets	+-		+	12,500	+		+-			-	-		-
	+		-	12,500	6,000	6,500	4 –						↓
Property taxes Secured	1	75.044.000					1_			-			
Supplemental Secured	_	75,914,000			-		+			-	-		-
	\$	3,037,000			-		-		-	-			-
Unsecured Roll RDA Passthru	\$ e	6,247,000								-	-		-
	\$	1,242,600					-			+	-		-
RDA Residual Payments Secured Homeowners Exempt	\$	2,282,000					-			-		-	
Total Property Tax	+	436,000					+-			-	-		ļ
FULL TIME FACULTY SALARIES AND BENEFITS	_	89,158,600		•			ال	16 400 05-	47.500		-		+
ADJUNCT ALLOCATION	\$	31,876,892			-		- \$	16,189,250	\$ 15,687,643		-		
MILPITAS EXTENSION	\$	14,551,848 750,000					3	6,805,081	\$ 7,746,76		-		-
WFI	\$	125,866					+-			\$ 750,000	_	405.000	-
BASIC ALLOCATION	\$	7,202,588					J	2 601 261	2 224 24		\$	125,866	-
PROPERTY TAX DISTRIBUTED PER RFTES (BASE	-	1,444,388					\$	3,601,294	\$ 3,601,294		-		-
REVENUE)	Ś	34,651,406		DEB BELEG -	\$ 2,925.65		\$	16,483,116	\$ 18,168,290				
TOTAL PROPERTY TAX ALLOCATION	-	34,032,400		PER RETES -	\$ 2,923.03		7				+		-
TOTAL PROPERTY TAX ALLOCATION	-		+				\$	43,078,741	\$ 45,203,992	\$ 750,000	\$	125,866	
Other Payenues	+		 				-			+			-
Other Revenues	-		ļ				<u> </u>			-			
Mandated Cost	\$	1,440,733					<u> </u>						
EPA	\$	1,250,000											
Lottery	\$	1,800,000					-						
Interest	\$	157,530			-		ļ			ļ	-		
Other State Income	\$	3,118,553	-				-						
Other local income	\$_	910,811											
Property Rental	\$	150,840									-		
State Reimbursed Cost	\$	214,336					-				\perp		ļ
Use of facilities	\$	170,672					-			-	-		
Other Financing Sources	\$	227,376									1-		
TOTAL OTHER DISTRIBUTED PER FTES	\$	9,440,851	-	PER FTES =	\$ 797.10		\$	4,490,861	\$ 4,949,990				
							\$	47,569,601	\$ 50,153,983				
ESS: DW EXPENSE	\$	12,333,034	11.44%				\$	5,9 19,856	\$ 6,413,178				
Assessment per FTES			1	PER FTES =	\$ 986.64					-	_		
	_		-							1			
ESS: DISTRICT SERVICE EXPENSE	Ś	15,708,029	14.57%				\$	7,539,854	\$ 8,168,175				
Assessment per FTES	- T	20,100,020	21.0770	PER FTES =	\$ 1 256 64		-Y	7,555,654	9 0,100,173		-		
			-		7 -/		\$	34,109,891	\$ 35,572,630		 		
PLUS COLLEGE GENERATED REVENUE	·-					1	T	2,,23,,031	, 00,012,030	[
astructional materials fees			_			-	ć	24 622	¢ AFCE		-		
nrollment fees inti students			_				\$	31,623			+		
rollment fees residents			_	-	-		\$		\$ 636,420		 		
rollment fees non residents	-		-				÷		\$ 2,749,373		-		
arking Fees							\$	366,801			-		
ther local income		-		+			\$	133,227			 		
O.G. (2% Admin Fee)					-		\$	191,201					
ederal MAA Program							\$	118,715			-		
ther financing sources							\$	350,000		-	-		
TOTAL LOCAL	Ś	8,691,616	-				\$	4,599,112		-		-	
. VINE EV WIE	*	J-32,010		-	-		V.	7,355,112	4,032,504		ļ		
ET ALLOCATION + COLLEGE REVENUE				-			ć	20 700 002	¢ 20 ccc 424	é 750.000		25.000	
	-								\$ 39,665,134	\$ 750,000	> 1	125,866	
EVENUE PER FTES	_						\$	6,452	\$ 6,102				
PENDITURES IN ADOPTED BUDGET (DISCOUNT								- 1					
CTOR APPLIED)							\$	39,170,031	\$ 39,722,508	\$ 737,100	\$ 1	23,701	
						1.3	\$	(461,028)	\$ (57,374)	\$ 12,900	\$	2,165	\$ (503,33
								- 1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	,	,
TAL RESOURCES (REVENUES IN ADOPTED	\$ 10	7,291,067		_		11							
DGET)		,,		1	Ţ.	40							
PENDITURES IN ADOPTED BUDGET (DISCOUNT										-	-		
CTOR APPLIED)	\$ 10	7,794,403		1	1	()							
		(503,336)	_	+									