



SAN JOSE EVERGREEN COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

CITIZENS BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

MEETING MINUTES

Monday, May 7, 2007 at 4:00 PM

District Office Board Room

<u>Attendees:</u>	Jaspal Singh	Business Community
	Benjamin White	Community at-large
	Nicholas Dinh	Taxpayers Association
	Gregory Hobbs	Senior Citizen
	Adan Lupercio	Student
	Hector Cortez	Support Organization
	Tony Estremera	Community at-large
	Ron Root	District Vice-Chancellor
	Robert Dias	District Director of Facilities
	Diana Rodriguez	District Facilities Administrative Assistant

1. The meeting was opened with an announcement welcoming the members of this committee and their willingness to serve on this committee. A brief explanation was given as to why such a delay from the kickoff meeting.
2. A district snapshot was given including campuses, history and Measure G and I bonds
3. Each member of the committee was given an opportunity to introduce themselves and indicate their respective representation
4. Nicolas Dinh is appointed as Chair of the Committee and Jaspal Singh is appointed as Vice-Chair. Both are unanimously approved by the Committee
5. Agenda is approved by the Committee
6. Brown Act and Robert's Rules are discussed. Measure G is reviewed as well as the background of the Committee and what has taken place since the kickoff meeting.
7. Education Code and Proposition 39 are also reviewed

8. The roles, rights and responsibilities of the Committee is discussed. Questions about the neighborhood associations concerns about projects are discussed.
9. The matter of the Attorney's Opinion regarding bond paid district staff is addresses and that staff is disclosed.
10. Bylaws and what can and cannot be addressed by the Committee is reviewed.
11. Measure G ballot language is reviewed and it is pointed out that the Master Plans can be changed from their original plan.
12. Different types of funding are reviewed to clarify that not all projects are paid from Measure G bonds, other funding such as state funding and private donations are discussed.
13. Milpitas site plans are reviewed and the idea of being the district that helps educate those economically challenged students.
14. The Science Complex is used as an example of a project that has been funded partially by the State, and partially my Measure G. The conditions of the previous science department were very poor and the need for a new facility was agreed upon, so this complex was built.
15. Measure I and its history are discussed and also the remaining balance.
16. Facilities Master plans, dated back in 1998 are reviewed and its adjustments are clarified. These Master plans were updated in 2004, and still may change.
17. The SJCC parking garage is used as an example of a lease revenue project.
18. The differences between Measure I and Measure G are reviewed. Things such as instructional equipment and the CBOC itself are mentioned. Bond use restrictions are also mentioned.
19. Expenditure Overview section of the CBOC binder is reviewed. Each spreadsheet is discussed and it is clarified how to read them. Priority changes are discussed which reflect on these financial overviews. Funding was leveraged a bit as necessary such as for the Applied Sciences project
20. It is agreed that at the next meeting the Committee will review what has changed since this meeting. It is also suggested that the next meeting take place at SJCC so that the committee can tour the Science Complex and any other projects they are interested in.

21. Annually, an independent auditor reviews and performs a financial audit. However, it is up to the CBOC to do an annual report. It is suggested that this be done at the beginning of next year
22. Two annual meetings are recommended for the CBOC committee. A campus tour is agreed upon for the next meeting and it is suggested that the following meeting take place sometime in January, 2008. The district will provide a draft agenda to the Committee Chairperson and he will then approve and pass on.
23. Meeting is adjourned at 6:12 PM

The aforementioned meeting minutes are the author's interpretation of issues and discussions at the meeting. If there are any discrepancies or misinterpretations, please submit any feedback or clarification within 10 working days of the issuance of these minutes.

Respectfully Submitted:

Diana Rodriguez
Facilities Administrative Assistant